1	CHRISTOPHER B. HOCKETT (Bar No. 121539) NEAL A. POTISCHMAN (Bar No. 254862) SANDRA WEST (Bar No. 250389) DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL 1600 El Camino Real Menlo Park, California 94025		
2			
3			
4	Telephone: (650) 752-2000		
5	Facsimile: (650) 752-2111 chris.hockett@dpw.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendant T-MOBILE USA, INC.		
7	T MODILL COM, II VC.		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	MILTON CARDOZA, on Behalf of Himself	) Case No.: CV08-5120 SC	
12	and Others Similarly Situated,	) STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del>	
13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
14	V.		
15	T-MOBILE USA, INC.,		
16	Defendant.		
17		_)	
18	WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed his complaint in the above-titled action on November 10, 2008;		
19	WHEREAS, Plaintiff served Defendant T-Mobile USA, Inc. ("T-Mobile") with the		
20	complaint on December 2, 2008;		
21	WHEREAS, T-Mobile originally had until December 22, 2008 to respond to the complaint;		
22	WHEREAS, on December 19, 2008, the parties stipulated that the time to respond to the		
23	complaint would be extended to January 16, 2009;		
24	WHEREAS, T-Mobile intends to file, on or before January 16, 2009, a motion to transfer to		
25	the Western District of Washington or, in the alternative, to dismiss or stay the instant action on the		
26	same grounds (the "Motion to Transfer");		
27			
28			

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT - No. CV08-5120 SC

- 1		
1	WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that	at T-Mobile's time to answer, move to dismiss under
2	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12, or otherwise respond to the complaint should be extended until	
3	the Court has ruled on the Motion to Transfer; and	
4	WHEREAS, the requested extension wi	ll not alter the date of any event or deadline already
5	fixed by Court order;	
6	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED among the parties, through their respective	
7	counsel of record, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), to an extension of time for T-Mobile to	
8	answer, move to dismiss under Rule 12, or otherwise respond to the complaint filed in this matter	
9	until 30 days after the Court has ruled on T-Mo	bile's Motion to Transfer.
10		
11	Dated: January 14, 2009	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL
12		
13		By: /s/ Christopher B. Hockett
14		Christopher B. Hockett
15		Attorneys for Defendant T-Mobile
16		
17	Dated: January 14, 2009	LAW OFFICE OF PETER FREDMAN
18		
19		By: /s/ Peter B. Fredman
20		Peter B. Fredman
21		Attorneys for Plaintiff
22		
23	*	* *
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	RATES DISTRICT CO
25	Dated January 15, 2009	IT IS SO ORDERED
26		UNITEDS'I Page 1 Com JEDGE
27		Judge Samuel Conti
28		THE OF SELECTION O
		DISTRICTOR

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT - No. CV08-5120 SC